

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,252	01/17/2001	James Russell Godwin	5577-220	8043
58505 7590 03/29/2007 STEVENS & SHOWALTER, L.L.P.			EXAM	INER
BOX IBM			PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B	
7019 CORPOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAYTON, OH 45459-4238			2154	
	•			
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		03/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	09/764,252	GODWIN ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
	Ashok B. Patel	2154	
Document Code - AP.PRE	.DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revi	iew filed <u>1/31/07</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper an reason(s):	d a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurr ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other: . 	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Not	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upof the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
∑ The panel has determined the status of the clather Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-9, 20, 22-28, 39, 41-47 and Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been h action will be mailed. No further action is required by an	
All participants:	
(1) Ashok B. Patel.	(3)Lynne H Browne Lynne H. Browne
(2) Nathan Flynn.	(4) Appeal Specialist, TQAS Technology Center 2100